

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/806,360 | 03/29/2001 | Suruliappa Gowper Jeganathan | | 1176 |
| 324 | 7590 11/26/2002 | | - | |
| CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS CORPORATION PATENT DEPARTMENT 540 WHITE PLAINS RD P O BOX 2005 TARRYTOWN, NY 10591-9005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | WALKE, AMANDA C | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| TARRYTO | WN, NY 10391-9003 | | 1752 | ٩ |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/26/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/806,360 | JEGANATHAN ET AL. | | | | |
| Advisory Addion | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Amanda C Walke | 1752 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 13 November 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whic | ation. A proper reply to a characteristic at the application in | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RI | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c) | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the ma | ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to | pelow); | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | erially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel | ing a corresponding number of t | finally rejected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | | |
| 5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | | idered but does NOT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | • | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | · | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) approved or b) disapp | proved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | The state of the s | | | | |
| | | JANET BAXTER | | | | |
| | SUPER | RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER CHMOLOGY CENTER 1700 | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | TE | CHMOLOGY OCIVICION 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The Birbaum et a reference specifically teaches the use of the compound in a protective or an interlayer. .

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Also, applicant has argued that the compounds have no been shown to behave as oxidized developer scavengers which has been addressed in the previous office action.